On the Origin of Trust: Struggle for Secure Cryptography

Anne Canteaut

Inria, project-team SECRET, Paris, France
http://www.rocq.inria.fr/secret/Anne.Canteaut/

Attacks

In most attacks, cryptography is bypassed.

"I am not aware of any major world-class security system employing cryptography in which the hackers penetrated the system by actually going through the cryptanalysis." [Adi Shamir 2002]

Attacks

In most attacks, cryptography is bypassed.

"I am not aware of any major world-class security system employing cryptography in which the hackers penetrated the system by actually going through the cryptanalysis." [Adi Shamir 2002]

"I do not need a trophy to tell myself that I am the best." [Zlatan Ibrahimovic 2013]

Disasters...



Thu, 7 Apr 2016-10:01am , Paris , Reuters



- biases in RC4 [AlFardam et al. 13]
- Logjam [Adrian et al. 15]: weak Diffie-Helman
- Sloth [Bhargavan, Leurent 16]: collisions in MD5

Attack against MIFARE

COMPUTERWORLDUK THE VOICE OF BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY	Features	Technology	IT Business	Careers
	Resources			
¥ f in 8⁺ ;⊠				
Home $ ightarrow$ News $ ightarrow$ IT Vendors $ ightarrow$ Questio	ons raised about	Oyster card secu	ity	
Home > News > IT Vendors > Questio	ons raised about	Oyster card secur	ter ca	rd
Home > News > IT Vendors > Question Questions raise security	ons raised about	Oyster card secure	ity ter ca l	rd

might not be as secure as previously thought.

Can we trust cryptographers?



When cryptographers claim that a primitive is broken, don't use it.

Rule #1

When cryptographers claim that a primitive is broken, don't use it.

But those guys are paranoid!

Rule #1

When cryptographers claim that a primitive is broken, don't use it.

But those guys are paranoid! True.

Cryptanalysis of the full Spritz [Banik, Isobe 16]: "We need approximatively 2¹²⁴⁷ assignments to recover the internal state."

Rule #1

When cryptographers claim that a primitive is broken, don't use it.

But those guys are paranoid! True.

Cryptanalysis of the full Spritz [Banik, Isobe 16]: "We need approximatively 2¹²⁴⁷ assignments to recover the internal state."

$$2^{1247} \ge$$
(# atoms in the universe)⁴

Broken?

A good primitive must behave as a function chosen at random from the set of all functions (with the same characteristics).

Broken?

A good primitive must behave as a function chosen at random from the set of all functions (with the same characteristics).

Spritz [Rivest, Schulz 15]:

Spritz generates a pseudo-random sequence from a secret state, chosen out of 2^{1730} possibilities.

Broken?

A good primitive must behave as a function chosen at random from the set of all functions (with the same characteristics).

Spritz [Rivest, Schulz 15]:

Spritz generates a pseudo-random sequence from a secret state, chosen out of 2^{1730} possibilities.

Attack: the internal state can be recovered with 2^{1247} trials \rightarrow much better than brute-force

Hash functions

$$H: \{0,1\}^{\star} \longrightarrow \{0,1\}^n$$

Second preimage:

Given m, find a message m' such that H(m') = H(m).

Generic algorithm: Try 2^n random messages.

Hash functions

$$H: \{0,1\}^{\star} \longrightarrow \{0,1\}^n$$

Second preimage:

Given m, find a message m' such that H(m') = H(m).

Generic algorithm: Try 2^n random messages.

Collision:

Find two messages m and m' such that H(m) = H(m'). Generic algorithm: Select $2^{n/2}$ random messages.

But this is not relevant in our applications...

Finding collisions is not an issue in key-exchange protocols.

But this is not relevant in our applications...

Finding collisions is not an issue in key-exchange protocols.

Sloth attack against TLS [Bhargavan, Leurent 16]: exploits collisions in MD5!

But these attacks are not practical...

• Attacks reveal unexpected weaknesses.

But these attacks are not practical...

- Attacks reveal unexpected weaknesses.
- Attacks always get better; they never get worse.

But these attacks are not practical...

- Attacks reveal unexpected weaknesses.
- Attacks always get better; they never get worse.

If cryptographers say that it is broken, don't use it.

What if they don't say

that it is broken?

Is there any difference between

- **AES** (NIST FIPS 197)
- Crypto-1 (MIFARE Classic encryption)
- Dual-EC-DRBG (NIST SP 800-90A)

Is there any difference between

- **AES** (NIST FIPS 197)
- Crypto-1 (MIFARE Classic encryption)
- Dual-EC-DRBG (NIST SP 800-90A)

AES has been standardized after an open competition (1997-2001)

Hash function competition (SHA-3)

Oct 2008 submission deadline

 $\longrightarrow 64 \text{ candidates received by the NIST}$ Dec 2008 51 candidates in the 1st round Feb 2009 1st SHA-3 conference

Let's start the struggle!

Abacus	Neil Sholer	in round 1	2nd-preimage	
ARIRANG	Jongin Lim	in round 1		
AURORA	Masahiro Fujita	in round 1	2nd preimage	
Blender	Colin Bradbury	in round 1	collision, preimage	near-collision
Boole	Greg Rose	in round 1	collision	
Cheetah	Dmitry Khovratovich	in round 1		length- extension
CHI	Phillip Hawkes	in round 1		
CRUNCH	Jacques Patarin	in round 1		length- extension
DCH	David A. Wilson	in round 1	collision	
Dynamic SHA	Xu Zijie	in round 1	collision	length- extension

http://ehash.iaik.tugraz.at/wiki/The_SHA-3_Zoo

Hash function competition (SHA-3)

Oct 2008 submission deadline

- \longrightarrow 64 candidates received by the NIST
- Dec 2008 51 candidates in the 1st round
- Feb 2009 1st SHA-3 conference
- July 2009 14 candidates in the 2nd round
- Aug 2010 2nd SHA-3 conference
- Dec 2010 5 finalists
- Mar 2012 3rd SHA-3 conference
- Oct 2012 winner announced (Keccak)

Prize for the best cryptanalysis

Third cryptanalysis prize

30 September 2009

We announce the third prize for the most interesting cryptanalysis of KECCAK. The results must be publicly available on an URL that is sent to keccak -*at*- noekeon -*dot*- org **before December 5**, 2009 at 23:59 GMT+1 (i.e., before Sinterklaas or Saint Nicolas).

The third prize consists of beer, like the first one. This time we offer Lambic beers that according to myth can only be brewed in the surroundings of Brussels thanks to wild yeast and mysterious bacteria that would not occur anywhere else. Anyway, the prize is a case with 24 (the new number of rounds in KECCAK-*f*) bottles of Lambic-based beers from breweries such as Cantillon, Girardin, and 3 Fonteinen.

http://keccak.noekeon.org/third_party.html

Prize for the best cryptanalysis

[Boura, Canteaut 2011]: distinguisher on the inner permutation of Keccak with complexity 2^{1575} (instead of 2^{1600}).





In Keccak, 24 rounds

How many rounds can we break?

SHA-3 (24 rounds): collisions up to 5 rounds [Dinur, Dunkelman, Shamir 2013]

How many rounds can we break?

AES-128 (10 rounds):

5 rounds	2 ⁴⁶	Daemen, Rijmen 1998
6 rounds	2 ⁷¹	Daemen, Rijmen 1998
6 rounds	2 ⁴⁸	Ferguson et al. 2000
7 rounds	$\simeq 2^{128}$	Gilbert, Minier 2000
7 rounds	2 ¹¹⁷	Lu, Dunkelman, Keller, Kim 2008
7 rounds	2 ¹¹⁰	Mala et al. 2010
7 rounds	2 ⁹⁹	Derbez, Fouque, Jean 2013



No public analysis, no trust

Examples:

- Crypto-1 (Mifare): proprietary design
- Simon, Speck [NSA 2015]: no design rationale



Public analysis is the only reliable security argument